Biostatistics 140.656, 2018-19

Lab 3

**Topics:**

* Logistic regression models for individual outcomes vs. aggregated outcomes
* Interpretation of parameters from logistic random intercept models
* Interpretation of parameters from marginal logistic regression models

**Learning Objectives:**

Students who successfully complete this lab will be able to:

* Interpret parameters for level-1 and level-2 covariates within a logistic random intercept model
* Interpret parameters for level-1 and level-2 covariates within a marginal logistic regression model
* Describe and implement a logistic regression model for aggregated level-1 data

**Scientific Background**:

In 2003, the Maryland General Assembly enacted the Public Charter School Act. The act created Maryland’s first public charter school program “to establish an alternative means within the existing public school system in order to provide innovative learning opportunities and creative educational approaches to improve the education of students." Charter schools in Maryland are public schools where admission is based on a lottery that is open to all families within the specific county or Baltimore City. Since the passage of the Public Charter School Act in 2003, roughly 35 charter schools have opened and are operating in Maryland; the vast majority of charter schools are in Baltimore City.

There is on-going debate about whether charter schools add value above what students receive at traditional schools; i.e. the child’s neighborhood public school.

In Homework 2, you will evaluate whether students in charter schools perform better academically than students in traditional schools within Baltimore City.

The Maryland School Assessment (MSA) is an annual assessment program that tests students in grades 3 through 8 in reading and mathematics (<http://reportcard.msde.maryland.gov/>).

The MSA program ranks student performance with respect to meeting expectations on an ordinal scale: Level 1: did not yet meet expectations, Level 2: partially met expectations, Level 3: approached expectations, Level 4: met expectations, and Level 5: exceeded expectations.

We will consider a good outcome for student performance a “pass” as defined by *Level 3* through *Level 5*.

The data has two levels: i for school (i = 1, .., 121) and j for student within school i (j = 1, …, ni). The outcome is Yij, the student level indicator of “pass” on the mathematics MSA. The primary exposure variables of interest are grade level (Xij=3,4,5, a student-level variable) and school type (Zi =0,1 for traditional (0) vs. charter (1) school).

NOTE: The indicator for charter vs. traditional school was generated by us using data from Baltimore City Schools and the Maryland Association of Public Charter Schools.

NOTE: There are more than 121 elementary schools in Baltimore City; we have excluded a few schools that did not report MSA data for academic year 2017-2018 or whom we could not identify as either a traditional vs. charter school.

In this lab session, you will become familiar with the data structure and focus on comparing the proportion of students who “pass” across the grade levels (ignoring school type) and then separately among charter vs. traditional schools (ignoring grade levels).

**Lab exercises:**

**PART I: Idealized data:** I have created a dataset that provides (Yij, Xij, Zi) for the 121 elementary schools included in the analysis. Download “MSA2017\_individual.csv”.

1. Open the dataset and do the following:
   1. Confirm that there are 121 schools in the dataset
   2. Confirm that there are 24 charter schools
   3. Compute the number of students who completed the mathematics MSA for each grade level within each school. For each grade level, summarize the number of students taking the mathematics MSA across the schools
   4. Compute the proportion of students who “passed” the mathematics MSA for each grade level within each school. For each grade level, summarize the proportion who passed across the schools
2. Fit the model below and answer the questions that follow. NOTE: We will assume no contextual effect of grade level.

HINT: Stata users, you can use meqrlogit as follows:

gen grade4 = grade=="Grade 4"

gen grade5 = grade=="Grade 5"

meqrlogit pass grade4 grade5 || school\_number:

HINT: R users, you can use glmer within the lme4 package as follows:

library(lme4)

data$grade4 = data$grade=="Grade 4"

data$grade5 = data$grade=="Grade 5"

fit = glmer(pass~grade4+grade5+(1|school\_number),data=data,family="binomial",nAGQ = 7)

summary(fit)

1. Interpret the value of .
2. Interpret the value of .
3. Provide an estimate of the intraclass correlation coefficient, i.e. . Provide an interpretation of this statistic within the context of the problem.
4. From this model, you can compute the proportion of 5th graders who pass the mathematics MSA for each school. Compute an interval that contains the proportion of 5th graders who pass the mathematics MSA for 95% of all schools.
5. Evaluate the estimation procedure by refitting the model using a smaller/larger number of integration points. In Stata, the default number of integration points for meqrlogit is 7. If you used the glmer command provided above, I set the number of integration points to 7. Set the number of integration points to 4 and 14 and make a conclusion about the stability/convergence of the results of your model.
6. Fit the following model below and answer the questions that follow.

1. Interpret the value of .
2. Interpret the value of .
3. Provide an interval that contains the proportion of students who pass the mathematics MSA for 95% of the charter schools.
4. As an alternative to the logistic random intercept model, fit the following marginal model. Compare the estimate of to . Describe the reason for any differences.

HINT: In Stata, this could be accomplished by:

xtset school\_number

xtgee pass charter, family(binomial) corr(exch)

HINT: In R, this can be accomplished by:

library(geepack)

fit = geeglm(pass~charter,family=”binomial”,corstr=”exchangeable”,data=data,

id=data$school\_number)

**Part 2: Actual data:** The MSA program makes the results of MSA testing for each public school in Maryland publicly available on its website. However, the MSA program aggregates data by grade level and school, in other words, instead of a dataset with a row per child per grade level per school, i.e. (Yij, Xij), the public is provided with a row of data per grade level per school.

Recall, Yij is the student level indicator of “pass” on the mathematics MSA for student j from school *i,* i = 1, .., 121 and j = 1, …, ni. The primary level-1 exposure variable is grade level, Xij=3,4,5.

For each school *i* and grade level *k* = 3, 4 and 5 respectively,

is the number of students in grade *k* from school *i* who took the mathematics MSA

is the number of students who “pass” the mathematics MSA in grade *k* from school *i*

Lastly, define a grade variable with values 3, 4 and 5.

Here is a listing of the selected variables from the first 7 rows of available data. Notice that not all schools will have scores available for all grade levels. In the dataset, is “grade”, is “tested\_count”, is “pass” and is “charter” (the school level charter school indicator).

list school\_number school\_name testedcount grade pass charter in 1/7

+------------------------------------------------------------------------------------+

| school~r school\_name grade tested~t pass charter |

|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

1. | 314 SharpLeadenhall Elementary Grade 5 11 1 0 |

2. | 314 SharpLeadenhall Elementary Grade 4 13 1 0 |

3. | 371 Lillie May Carroll Jackson School Grade 5 13 3 1 |

4. | 322 New Song Academy Grade 5 14 5 0 |

5. | 89 Rognel Heights ElementaryMiddle Grade 3 14 3 0 |

|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

6. | 322 New Song Academy Grade 4 15 6 0 |

7. | 379 Roots and Branches School Grade 5 15 1 1 |

+------------------------------------------------------------------------------------+

Given that we have this aggregated school-level and grade-level data, how should you fit the models?

Without losing any information, the model for the aggregated data can be expressed as:

So, when we are fitting the models, we will need to specify both the number of students who “pass” (this is our outcome) and the number of students who took the test for a given grade level (this is “tested\_count” in the dataset).

Download the “HW2 MSA 2018.csv” and fit the model above using the commands provided below. Confirm your results are the same using the individual level data (see Question 2) and the aggregated data.

Stata users:

gen grade4 = grade==”Grade 4”

gen grade5 = grade==”Grade 5”

meqrlogit pass grade4 grade5 || school\_num: , binomial(tested\_count)

R users:

data$grade4 = ifelse(data$Grade==”Grade 4”,1,0)

data$grade5 = ifelse(data$Grade==”Grade 5”,1,0)

fit = glmer(cbind(pass,Tested\_Count-pass)

~grade4+grade5+(1|school\_number),data=data,family="binomial",nAGQ=7)

summary(fit)